$7x + 1$: Close Relative of the Collatz Problem

D. Barina

Brno University of Technology Faculty of Information Technology Brno, Czech Republic E-mail: ibarina@fit.vutbr.cz

Received: 15 October 2022; revised: 11 December 2022; accepted: 13 December 2022; published online: 20 December 2022

Abstract: We show an iterated function of which iterates oscillate wildly and grow at a dizzying pace. We conjecture that the orbit of arbitrary positive integer always returns to 1, as in the case of the Collatz function. The conjecture is supported by a heuristic argument and computational results.

Key words: number theory, Collatz problem

I. Introduction

It is conjectured that, for arbitrary positive integer n , a sequence defined by repeatedly applying the function

$$
f(n) = \begin{cases} 3n+1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ n/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

will always converge to the cycle passing through 1. The odd terms of such sequence typically rise and fall repeatedly. The conjecture has never been proven. The problem is known under several different names, including the Collatz problem, $3x + 1$ problem, Syracuse problem, and many others. There is an extensive literature, [\[1,](#page-4-0) [2\]](#page-4-1), on this question.

Its close relative is

$$
f(n) = \begin{cases} 7n+1 & : \text{if } n \equiv +1 \pmod{4}, \\ 7n-1 & : \text{if } n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ n/2 & : \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

which also always converges to the cycle passing through 1 when iteratively applied on arbitrary positive integer n . Also here, the odd terms typically rise and fall repeatedly. It is one of many possible generalizations of the $3x+1$ problem. However, unlike others, this one shares incredibly many similarities with the original conjecture.

The main goal of the paper is to present a new problem similar to the Collatz problem and a new conjecture similar to the Collatz conjecture.

II. Heuristic Argument

To prove that such sequences always return to 1, it should be shown that these sequences could never repeat the same number twice and they cannot grow indefinitely. Although the $3x + 1$ conjecture has not been proven, there is a heuristic argument, [\[3](#page-4-2)[–5\]](#page-4-3), that suggests the sequence should decrease over time. A similar heuristic argument can be used for $7x \pm 1$ problem. The argument is as follows. If *n* is odd, then $f(n) = 7n \pm 1$ is divisible by 4; thus two iterations of $f(n) = n/2$ must follow. Conversely, when n is even, then $f(n) = n/2$ follows. Furthermore, one can verify that if the input *n* is uniformly distributed modulo 2^{l+2} , then the output of the two branches above is uniformly distributed modulo 2^l , for an integer $l \geq 0$. All branches of the subsequent iteration therefore occur with equal probability. Now, if the input n is odd, the output of the former branch should be roughly $7/4$ times as large as the input n. Similarly, if the input *n* is even, the output of the latter branch is $1/2$ times as large as n . If we express the magnitude of n logarithmically, we get expected growth from the input n to the output of the branches above

$$
\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{7}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{2} < 0.
$$

Since the growth is negative, the heuristic argument suggests that the magnitude tend to decrease over a long time period.

III. Known Cycles

On positive integers, sequences defined by both the $3x + 1$ and the $7x \pm 1$ functions eventually enter a repeating cycle $1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$. When zero is included, there is another cycle $0 \rightarrow 0$ which, however, cannot be entered from outside. When the $3x + 1$ is extended to negative integers, the sequence enters one of a total of three known negative cycles. These are $-1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow -1, -5 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow -5,$ and $-17 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow -17$. Nevertheless, when the $7x \pm 1$ is extended to negative integers, the sequence will always converge to the cycle passing through -1 . These cycles are listed in Tabs. [1](#page-1-0) and [2.](#page-1-1) In contrast to the $3x + 1$ problem, every progression in $7x \pm 1$ on negative numbers corresponds to negated progression on positive numbers, and vice versa.

Tab. 1. $3x + 1$ problem. Known cycles. Only odd terms due to limited space

cycle	length
$-17 \rightarrow -25 \rightarrow -37 \rightarrow -55 \rightarrow -41 \rightarrow -61 \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow -91 \rightarrow -17$	18
$-5 \rightarrow -7 \rightarrow -5$	5
$-1 \rightarrow -1$	$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$
$+1 \rightarrow +1$	3

Tab. 2. $7x \pm 1$ problem. Known cycles. Only odd terms due to limited space

IV. Experimental Evidence

For instance, the $7x \pm 1$ sequence for starting value $n = 235$ is listed in Tab. [3.](#page-1-2) It takes 244 steps to reach the number 1 from 235. This is also known as the total stopping time. The highest value reached during the progression is 428 688. For a better mental picture of this sequence, the progression is also graphed in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The odd terms can be recognized as local minima, whereas the even terms as either local maxima or descending lines. One can easily see that the odd terms rise and fall repeatedly. Such behavior is also common to $3x + 1$ sequences.

Tab. 3. $7x \pm 1$ sequence starting at 235. Steps through odd numbers in bold

235, 1644, 822, 411, 2876, 1438, 719, 5032, 2516,					
1258, 629, 4404, 2202, 1101, 7708, 3854, 1927, 13488,					
6744, 3372, 1686, 843, 5900, 2950, 1475, 10324,					
5162, 2581, 18068, 9034, 4517, 31620, 15810, 7905,					
55336, 27668, 13834, 6917, 48420, 24210, 12105,					
84736, 42368, 21184, 10592, 5296, 2648, 1324, 662,					
331, 2316, 1158, 579, 4052, 2026, 1013, 7092, 3546,					
1773, 12412, 6206, 3103, 21720, 10860, 5430, 2715,					
19004, 9502, 4751, 33256, 16628, 8314, 4157, 29100,					
14550, 7275, 50924, 25462, 12731, 89116, 44558,					
22279, 155952, 77976, 38988, 19494, 9747, 68228,					
34114, 17057, 119400, 59700, 29850, 14925, 104476,					
52238, 26119, 182832, 91416, 45708, 22854, 11427,					
79988, 39994, 19997, 139980, 69990, 34995, 244964,					
122482, 61241, 428688, 214344, 107172, 53586,					
26793, 187552, 93776, 46888, 23444, 11722, 5861,					
41028, 20514, 10257, 71800, 35900, 17950, 8975,					
62824, 31412, 15706, 7853, 54972, 27486, 13743,					
96200, 48100, 24050, 12025, 84176, 42088, 21044,					
10522, 5261, 36828, 18414, 9207, 64448, 32224,					
16112, 8056, 4028, 2014, 1007, 7048, 3524, 1762, 881,					
6168, 3084, 1542, 771, 5396, 2698, 1349, 9444, 4722,					
2361, 16528, 8264, 4132, 2066, 1033, 7232, 3616,					
1808, 904, 452, 226, 113, 792, 396, 198, 99, 692, 346,					
173, 1212, 606, 303, 2120, 1060, 530, 265, 1856, 928,					
464, 232, 116, 58, 29, 204, 102, 51, 356, 178, 89, 624,					
312, 156, 78, 39, 272, 136, 68, 34, 17, 120, 60, 30, 15,					
104, 52, 26, 13, 92, 46, 23, 160, 80, 40, 20, 10, 5, 36,					
18, 9, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1					

The progression lengths for both the $3x+1$ and the $7x\pm1$ problems are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1) Regarding the successive n , the behavior of total stopping time is obviously irregular. Despite this, we can see regular patterns in graphs of these times for both of the problems. Consecutive starting values tend to reach the same total stopping time.

In order to compare the behavior of the $3x+1$ and $7x\pm1$ sequences, consider the following tables. Tabs. [4](#page-3-0) and [5](#page-3-1) show the longest progression (total stopping time) for any starting number less than the given limit. One can see that the $3x + 1$ sequences tend to have recognizably longer progressions. Moreover, Tabs. [6](#page-3-2) and [7](#page-3-3) show that the maximum value reached during a progression for any starting number below the given limit. This value grows significantly faster in the $7x \pm 1$ problem that in the $3x + 1$ case.

A lot of generalizations, e.g., [\[4–](#page-4-4)[8\]](#page-4-5), of the original Collatz problem can be found in the literature. In [\[5\]](#page-4-3), the author also mentions the $7x + 1$ problem. The definition of such a problem is, however, different from the definition discussed in this paper. To the best of my knowledge, the $7x \pm 1$ function studied in this paper has never appeared before. I have computationally verified the convergence of the $7x \pm 1$ problem for all numbers up to 10^{15} .

Fig. 1. $7x \pm 1$ sequence starting at 235. Due to a very large number range, the sequence in the linear scale is shown at the top, and in the logarithmic scale at the bottom

Fig. 2. Numbers 1 to 10 000 and their total stopping time. The $3x + 1$ at the top, the $7x \pm 1$ at the bottom

below	peak steps	start value
10^{1}	19	9
10 ²	118	97
10 ³	178	871
10 ⁴	261	6 1 7 1
10 ⁵	350	77031
10 ⁶	524	837799
10 ⁷	685	8400511
10 ⁸	949	63728127
10 ⁹	986	670617279
10^{10}	1 1 3 2	9780657630

Tab. 4. $3x + 1$ problem. Longest progression for values less than the given value

Tab. 5. $7x \pm 1$ problem. Longest progression for values less than the given value

Tab. $6.3x + 1$ problem. Maximum value reached in progressions

below	peak value	start value
10^{1}	52	7
10^{2}	9 2 3 2	27
10^{3}	250.504	703
10^{4}	27 1 14 4 24	9663
10^{5}	1 570 824 736	77671
10^{6}	56991483520	704.511
10^{7}	60 342 610 919 632	6631675
10^{8}	2 185 143 829 170 100	80049391
10^{9}	1414236446719942480	319 804 831
10^{10}	18 144 594 937 356 598 024	8528817511

Tab. 7. $7x \pm 1$ problem. Maximum value reached in progressions

V. Final Remarks

- The paper presented a conjecture that the orbit of arbitrary positive integer always returns to 1 under the $7x \pm 1$ function.
- Although the conjecture has not been proven, there is a heuristic argument that suggests the sequence should decrease over time.

References

- [1] J.C. Lagarias, *The* 3x + 1 *problem: An annotated bibliography (1963– 1999)*, sorted by author, arXiv: math/0309224 (2003).
- [2] J.C. Lagarias, *The* 3x + 1 *problem: An annotated bibliography, II (2000-2009)*, arXiv: math/0608208 (2006).
- [3] T. Tao, *The Collatz conjecture, Littlewood-Offord theory, and powers of 2 and 3* (2011), https://terrytao.wordpress.com /2011/08/25/the-collatz-conjecture-littlewood-offord-theoryand-powers-of-2-and-3/.
- [4] J.C. Lagarias, *The* 3x + 1 *problem and its generalizations*, The American Mathematical Monthly 92(1), 3–23 (1985).
- [5] R.E. Crandall, *On the "*3x + 1*" problem*, Mathematics of Computation 32(144), 1281–1292 (1978).
- [6] M.V.P. Garcia, F.A. Tal, *A note on the generalized* $3n + 1$ *problem*, Acta Arithmetica 90(3), 245–250 (1999).
- [7] F. Mignosi, *On a generalization of the* $3x + 1$ *problem*, Journal of Number Theory 55(1), 28–45 (1995).
- [8] K.R. Matthews, *Generalized* 3x + 1 *mappings: Markov chains and ergodic theory*, [In:] J.C. Lagarias (Ed.), *The Ultimate Challenge: The* 3x + 1 *Problem*, 79–103, AMS (2010).

David Barina received the PhD degree at the Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic. He is currently a member of the Graph@FIT group at the Department of Computer Graphics and Multimedia at FIT, Brno University of Technology. His research interests include wavelets and fast algorithms in signal and image processing.