

Unlimitedly Deep Pushdown Automata and Their Computational Completeness

Lucie Charvát and Alexander Meduna

Brno University of Technology
Faculty of Information Technology
Božetěchova 1/2, 612 66 Brno
icharvat@fit.vutbr.cz



14th December, 2017

- State grammar:

$$G = (V, W, T, P, S)$$

$$(p, A) \rightarrow (q, w)$$

- Example:

$$G = (\{S, A, B, C, a, b, c\}, \{s, p, q, f\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$$

$$\begin{aligned}P = & \{(s, S) \rightarrow (p, AC), (p, A) \rightarrow (q, aAb), \\& (p, A) \rightarrow (f, \epsilon), (q, C) \rightarrow (p, Cc), (f, C) \rightarrow (f, \epsilon)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(s, S) &\Rightarrow (p, AC) \Rightarrow (q, aAbC) \Rightarrow \\&(p, aAbCc) \Rightarrow (f, abCc) \Rightarrow (f, abc)\end{aligned}$$

- Deep pushdown automaton:

$$M = (Q, T, N, R, s, S, F)$$

$$mpA \rightarrow qw, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

- Example:

$$M = (\{s, q, p, f\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{S, A, \#\}, R, s, S, \{f\})$$

$$\begin{aligned}R = \{ & 1sS \rightarrow qAA, 1qA \rightarrow paAb, 1qA \rightarrow fab, \\& 2pA \rightarrow qAc, 1fA \rightarrow fc \}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(s, abc, S\#) \vdash (q, abc, AA\#) \vdash (p, abc, aAbA\#) \vdash \\(q, abc, aAbAc\#) \vdash (f, abc, abAc\#) \vdash (f, abc, abc\#)\end{aligned}$$

- Unlimitedly deep pushdown automaton

$$M = (Q, T, N, R, s, S, F)$$

$$pA \rightarrow qw$$

- Example:

$$M = (\{s, q, p, f\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{S, A, C\#\}, R, s, S, \{f\})$$

$$\begin{aligned} R = \{ & sS \rightarrow qAC, qA \rightarrow paAb, qA \rightarrow fab, \\ & pC \rightarrow qCc, fC \rightarrow fc \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (s, abc, S\#) \vdash (q, abc, AC\#) \vdash (p, abc, aAbC\#) \vdash \\ (q, abc, aAbCc\#) \vdash (f, abc, abCc\#) \vdash (f, abc, abc\#) \end{aligned}$$

- State grammar / Unlimitedly deep pushdown automaton
- Construction
- Proof of same acceptance power

- **Input:** State Grammar $G = (V, W, T, P, S)$
- **Output:** Unlimitedly Deep PDA $M = (Q, T, N, R, s, S, W)$
- **Method:**

- ① $Q = W \cup \{s\}, s \notin W;$
- ② $\forall (p, S) \rightarrow (q, x) \in P, p, q \in W, \text{ add } s\# \rightarrow pS\# \text{ to } R;$
- ③ $\forall (p, A) \rightarrow (q, x) \in P, p, q \in W, A \in N, \text{ add } pA \rightarrow qx \text{ to } R.$

$$P = \{(p, S) \rightarrow (q, x), (r, S) \rightarrow (t, y), \dots\} \quad R = \{s\# \rightarrow pS\#, s\# \rightarrow rS\#, ps \rightarrow qx, rs \rightarrow ty, \dots\}$$

- **Input:** Unlimitedly Deep PDA $M = (Q, T, N, R, s, S, F)$
- **Output:** State Grammar $G = (V, W, T, P, S)$
- **Method:**

- ① $W = Q \cup \{s'\}, s' \notin Q;$
- ② $\forall sA \rightarrow qx \in R, q \in Q, \text{ add } (s', S) \rightarrow (s, A) \text{ to } P;$
- ③ $\forall pA \rightarrow qx \in R, p, q \in Q, A \in N, \text{ add } (p, A) \rightarrow (q, x) \text{ to } P.$

$$R = \{sA \rightarrow qx, \\ sB \rightarrow ty, \dots\}$$

$$P = \{(s', S) \rightarrow (s, A), (s', S) \rightarrow (s, B), \\ (s, A) \rightarrow (q, x), (s, B) \rightarrow (p, y), \dots\}$$

Theorem

For every state grammar G , there exists an unlimitedly deep pushdown automaton M such that $L(G) = L(M)$.

Lemma

For every state grammar G , there exists an unlimitedly deep pushdown automaton M such that $L(G) \subseteq L(M)$.

Claim (1)

Let $(p, S) \Rightarrow^j (q, xz)$ in G , where $p, q \in W$, $x \in T^*$, and $z \in (NV^*)^*$. Then, $(p, xw, S\#) \vdash^* (q, w, z\#)$ in M , where $p, q \in Q$ and $w \in T^*$.

Basis. Let $j = 0$, $(p, S) \Rightarrow^0 (p, S)$, from the construction, we obtain $(p, w, S\#) \vdash^0 (p, w, S\#)$ in M .

Induction Hypothesis. Assume there is $i \geq 0$ such that [Claim \(1\)](#) holds true for all $0 \leq j \leq i$.

Induction Step.

$$(p, S) \Rightarrow^i (h, xuAv) \Rightarrow (q, xu\alpha v) \quad [(h, A) \rightarrow (q, \alpha)]$$

$$(p, xyw, S\#) \vdash^* (h, yw, uAv\#) \vdash (q, w, z\#) \quad [hA \rightarrow q\alpha]$$

$$y = \text{max-prefix}(u\alpha v, T^*), z = \text{max-suffix}(u\alpha v, NV^*)$$

Claim (2)

Let $(p, xw, S\#) \vdash^j (q, w, z\#)$ in M , where $p, q \in Q, x, w \in T^*$ and $z \in (NV^*)^*$. Then, $(p, S) \Rightarrow^* (q, xz)$ in G , where $p, q \in W$.

Basis. Let $j = 0$, $(p, w, S\#) \vdash^0 (p, w, S\#)$ in M , from the construction, we obtain $(p, S) \Rightarrow^0 (p, S)$ in G .

Induction Hypothesis. Assume there is $i \geq 0$ such that [Claim \(2\)](#) holds true for all $0 \leq j \leq i$.

Induction Step.

$$(p, xyw, S\#) \vdash^j (h, yw, uAv\#) \vdash (q, w, z\#) \quad [hA \rightarrow q\alpha]$$

$$(p, S) \Rightarrow^* (h, xuAv) \Rightarrow (q, xu\alpha v) \quad [(h, A) \rightarrow (q, \alpha)]$$

$$y = \text{max-prefix}(u\alpha v, T^*), z = \text{max-suffix}(u\alpha v, NV^*)$$

Lemma

For every *unlimitedly deep pushdown automaton M*, there exists a *state grammar G* such that $L(M) \subseteq L(G)$.

Proven the **unlimitedly deep pushdown automata** are computationally complete.

- A. Meduna, Formal Languages and Computation: Models and Their Applications, Auerbach Publications, 2014
- A. V. Aho, J. D. Ullman, The Theory of Parsing, Translation, and Compiling, Prentice Hall, 1972
- T. Kasai, An hierarchy between context-free and context-sensitive languages, Journal of Computer and System Sciences 4 (5) (1970) 492–508
- G. Horvat, A. Meduna, On state grammars, Acta Cybernetica 1988 (8) (1988) 237–245